



Missouri Department of Social Services

# *Family* **PRESERVATION SERVICES**

Annual Report

Fiscal Year 1995

Prepared by Research and Evaluation  
Lorraine Brooks, Acting Manager  
221 West High Street, Broadway Building  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

# **Family Preservation Services**

## **Annual Report**

### **Fiscal Year 1995**

**Missouri Department of  
Social Services**

Research and Analysis by:  
Theresa Hoecker, Research Analyst  
August 1995

---



**MISSOURI**  
**DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**  
**DIVISION OF FAMILY SERVICES**  
P.O. BOX 88  
JEFFERSON CITY  
65103

**MEL CARNAHAN**  
GOVERNOR

**GARY J. STANGLER**  
DIRECTOR

TDD: 1-800-735-2966, VOICE: 1-800-735-2466

Dear Reader:

The Division of Family Services is pleased to present the second annual *Family Preservation Services Annual Report*. Material in this report covers the activities during Fiscal Year 1995 (July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1995).

This report has been designed to inform you about Family Preservation Services in Missouri. It includes information on families and children referred, accepted and exiting Family Preservation Services during Fiscal Year 1995. We hope the data presented here will be useful to all who are interested in Family Preservation Services.

Any questions about the report should be directed to the Division of Family Services or the Research and Evaluation Unit, both located within the Department of Social Services.

Sincerely,

Carmen K. Schulze  
Director  
Division of Family Services

# ***Table of Contents***

<b>Part I - Family Preservation Services .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Introduction.....	2
Definitions .....	2
Trends .....	3
Families Referred.....	4
Referrals Not Accepted.....	5
Referrals Accepted.....	6
Families and Children Accepted.....	8
Referral Source of Families .....	10
Families Exiting.....	12
At-Risk Children Exiting.....	14
Head of Household Characteristics of Families Accepted .....	16
At-Risk Children Characteristics of Families Accepted.....	20
<b>Part II - Family Preservation Services Site Overviews .....</b>	<b>25</b>
Site 701     Jackson .....	26
Site 702     St. Louis Metro (St. Louis City & County).....	27
Site 703     Jefferson .....	28
Site 704     Christian, Dade, Greene, Polk, Webster.....	29
Site 705     Barry, Lawrence, McDonald, Newton .....	30
Site 706     Dunklin, Stoddard, Pemiscot .....	31

---

Site 707	Mississippi, New Madrid, Scott .....	32
Site 801	Atchison, Gentry, Holt, Nodaway, Worth .....	33
Site 802	Grundy, Harrison, Mercer .....	34
Site 803	Caldwell, Carroll, Daviess, Livingston .....	35
Site 804	Chariton, Howard, Randolph .....	36
Site 805	Marion, Monroe, Ralls .....	37
Site 806	Lincoln, Pike .....	38
Site 807	Boone, Callaway .....	39
Site 808	Camden, Dallas, Hickory, Laclede.....	40
Site 810	Douglas, Maries, Ozark, Phelps, Pulaski, Texas, Wright.....	41
Site 811	St. Charles .....	42
Site 812	Linn, Putnam, Sullivan.....	43
Site 901	Andrew, Buchanan, Clinton, DeKalb.....	44
Site 902	Clay, Platte, Ray.....	45
Site 904	Cass, Johnson, Lafayette .....	46
Site 905	Cooper, Pettis, Saline .....	47
Site 907	Audrain, Montgomery, Warren .....	48
Site 908	Franklin, Gasconade, Osage.....	49
Site 909	Cole, Miller, Moniteau, Morgan .....	50
Site 910	Bates, Benton, Henry, St. Clair .....	51
Site 911	Barton, Cedar, Vernon .....	52

---

Site 912	Jasper.....	53
Site 913	Crawford, Dent, Iron, Reynolds, Shannon.....	54
Site 914	Howell, Oregon .....	55
Site 915	Butler, Carter, Ripley, Wayne.....	56
Site 916	Bollinger, Cape Girardeau, Perry.....	57
Site 917	Madison, St. Francois, Ste. Genevieve, Washington .....	58
Site 918	Stone, Taney .....	59
Site 919	Adair, Knox, Macon, Shelby.....	60
Site 920	Clark, Lewis, Schuyler, Scotland .....	61
<b>Part III - Appendices .....</b>		<b>63</b>
A.	Families Referred to Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Grouped by Referral County .....	64
B.	Reasons Families Not Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995.....	66
C.	Children Referred During Fiscal Year 1995 by Imminent Risk Category .....	70
D.	Families and Children Accepted in Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995.....	74
E.	Families Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995 by Referral Source Code .....	76
F.	At-Risk Children Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995 by Planned Placement Prevented .....	78
G.	Exit Status of Families Exiting Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995.....	80
Notes .....		82

---

# *Part I*

## *Family Preservation Services*

The number of families and children accepted into Family Preservation Services (FPS) according to the Intake/Tracking System is different from the number of families and children referred and accepted in the Referral System because of the more complete capture of data in the Intake/Tracking System.

## ***Introduction***

FPS provides short-term crisis intervention services to families at the point at which one or more children are in imminent risk of being placed in out-of-home care. A child may be at-risk of placement because the child has been abused or neglected, is severely emotionally disturbed or has been involved in delinquent behavior.

Services are provided in the family's home or other natural setting for a period of four to six weeks. During that time the Family Preservation Specialist will work with the family, teaching problem-solving skills, teaching families how to cope with future crises without relying on harmful behavior, providing information to families regarding other sources of assistance and teaching family members life skills. The goal of FPS is to restore the family to the level of functioning prior to the crisis which threatened the child with placement and teach skills which will help them deal with future crisis in a more productive manner.

This Annual Report covers Fiscal Year 1995 (July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995). The first part summarizes FPS activities and client characteristics for the state of Missouri. The second part provides similar information for individual FPS sites. The appendices provide information concerning the number of families referred, reasons families were not accepted, the number of children referred by imminent risk category, the number of families and children accepted, the number of families accepted by referral source code, the number of at-risk children accepted by planned placement prevented and the exit status of families exiting family preservation services during the fiscal year.

Throughout this report the number of families and children accepted into FPS according to the Intake/Tracking System is different from the number of families and children referred and accepted in the Referral System because of the more complete capture of data in the Intake/Tracking System.

## ***Definitions***

- *Referral System* - information taken from the State of Missouri Family Preservation Referral Log (FP-100)
- *Intake/Tracking System* - information taken from the State of Missouri Family Preservation Intake/Tracking Form (FP-200)
- *Referral* - a family who may or may not receive family preservation services
- *Families/Children Accepted* - families/children which receive family preservation services



- *Head of Household* - a parent or caretaker of the at-risk child
- *At-Risk Child* - a child who is at imminent risk of being placed out of the home
- *Not At-Risk Child* - a child who is living in the household but is not at imminent risk of being placed out of the home
- *Families Exiting* - a family with an exit date during Fiscal Year 1995 and a reported exit status
- *Family Intact* - a family in which all at-risk children were coded "family intact"

## ***Trends***

### ***Referrals***

The number of family and children referred to the FPS program has increased over the past year.

	<b><u>FY 1994</u></b>	<b><u>FY 1995</u></b>	<b><u>Percent Change</u></b>
Family Referrals	2,178	3,358	+54%
Family Referrals Not Accepted	1,126	1,627	+44%
Family Referrals Accepted	1,052	1,731	+65%
Children Referrals Accepted	1,993	3,292	+65%

### ***Families and Children Accepted***

The number of families and children accepted into FPS has also increased over the past year.

	<b><u>FY 1994</u></b>	<b><u>FY 1995</u></b>	<b><u>Percent Change</u></b>
Families Accepted	1,397	1,717	+23%
Children Accepted	3,721	4,546	+22%
At-Risk Children Accepted	2,660	3,233	+22%

## ***Families and Children Exiting***


The number of families and children exiting has increased over the past year. Also, the number of families and children exiting which are intact has increased over the past year.

	<u><b>FY 1994</b></u>	<u><b>FY 1995</b></u>	<u><b>Percent Change</b></u>
Families Exiting	1,088	1,643	+51%
Families Exiting Intact	918	1,389	+51%
Children Exiting	2,054	3,061	+49%
Children Exiting Intact	1,799	2,644	+47%

## ***Families Referred***

According to the Referral System the Division of Family Services (DFS) received 3,358 referrals for Family Preservation Services during the past fiscal year. DFS accepted 52 percent (1,731) of these referrals. Forty-three percent (743) of the referrals accepted were from two sites: Jackson County (Site 701) and St. Louis City and St. Louis County (Site 702). Of the 743, 169 were from Jackson County, 394 were from St. Louis City and 180 were from St. Louis County (Appendix A).

**Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995  
Families Referred**



## ***Referrals Not Accepted***

Forty-eight percent (1,627) of the families referred to FPS were not accepted. Thirty-two percent (520) of these were from Site 701 and Site 702. Of the 520, 332 were from Jackson County, 141 were from St. Louis City and 47 were from St. Louis County (Appendix A). Some of the reasons a family may not be accepted into FPS are: no immediate likelihood of placement; no opening in FPS; caretaker or child unwilling to participate; or child's safety cannot be assured.

Of the 1,627 families referred which were not accepted in FPS during Fiscal Year 1995, 21 percent (558) of the children had no immediate likelihood of placement. Nineteen percent (523) of the children were not accepted because there was no opening in FPS and the child remained at home. Seventeen percent (456) of the children were not accepted because the child's safety could not be assured and 14 percent (389) were not accepted because the caretaker was unwilling to participate (Appendix B).

### **Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Reasons Families Not Accepted**


	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Percent</u></b>
No Immediate Likelihood of Placement	558	21%
No Opening in FPS - Child at Home	523	19%
Child's Safety Cannot be Assured	456	17%
Caretaker Unwilling to Participate	389	14%
No Opening in FPS - Child will be Placed	226	8%
Primary Caretaker Unavailable	222	8%
Referral Source Did Not Follow Through	122	5%
Child Unwilling to Participate	114	4%
Unable to Contact Family	69	3%
Child is Physically Assaultive	11	0%*
Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured	8	0%*
Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement	0	0%
<b>State Total</b>	<b>2,698</b>	<b>100%</b>

The total of Reasons Families Not Accepted is not equal to the total number of Families Not Accepted because referral reasons are based on at-risk children in each family. A family may have more than one at-risk child.

\* Less than one percent.

## Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995

### Reasons Families Not Accepted



## *Referrals Accepted*


According to the Referral System 1,731 families were referred and accepted into FPS during the past fiscal year. There were 3,292 at-risk children in these families. Forty-eight percent (1,567) of these children were from Site 701 and Site 702. Of the 1,567, 332 were from Jackson County, 910 were from St. Louis City and 325 were from St. Louis County (Appendix C).

Thirty-six percent (1,183) of these children were referred to FPS because of neglect. Fourteen percent (457) were being physically abused. Fifty-three percent (632) of the children being neglected were from Site 701 and Site 702. Of the 632, 115 were from Jackson County, 420 were from St. Louis City and 97 were from St. Louis County. Forty-six percent (208) of the children being physically abused were also from Site 701 and Site 702. Of the 208, 32 were from Jackson County, 121 were from St. Louis City and 55 were from St. Louis County (Appendix C).

**Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995**  
**Children Referred by Imminent Risk Category**

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Neglect	1,183	36%
Other	782	24%
Physical Abuse	457	14%
Status Offense	185	6%
Emotional Disturbance	175	5%
Delinquency	149	5%
Emotional Maltreatment	105	3%
Sexual Maltreatment	96	3%
Medical Neglect	82	2%
Educational Neglect	78	2%
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3,292</b>	

**Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995**  
**Children Referred by Imminent Risk Category**



## ***Families and Children Accepted***

According to the Intake/Tracking System 1,717 families were accepted in FPS during the past fiscal year. There were 4,546 children in these families for an average of 2.6 per family. Seventy-one percent (3,233) of these children were at-risk. The average number of at-risk children per family was 1.9 (Appendix D).

Forty-two percent (716) of the families accepted were from Site 701 and Site 702. Forty-five percent (2,035) of the children were from Site 701 and Site 702. Forty-seven percent (1,535) of the at-risk children were also from Site 701 and Site 702 (Appendix D).


Jackson County had 171 families accepted in FPS during the fiscal year. There were 469 children in these families for an average of 2.7 per family. Seventy-seven percent (362) of these children were at-risk. The average number of at-risk children per family was 2.1 (Appendix D).

St. Louis City had 376 families accepted in FPS during the fiscal year. There were 1,093 children in these families for an average of 2.9 per family. Seventy-eight percent (848) of these children were at-risk. The average number of at-risk children per family was 2.3 (Appendix D). St. Louis County had 169 families accepted in FPS during the fiscal year. There were 473 children in these families for an average of 2.8 per family. Sixty-nine percent (325) of these children were at risk. The average number of at-risk children per family was 1.9 (Appendix D).

### **Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Families Accepted**

July 1994	143
August 1994	132
September 1994	162
October 1994	127
November 1994	133
December 1994	141
January 1995	170
February 1995	132
March 1995	159
April 1995	144
May 1995	135
June 1995	139
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,717</b>

**Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995**  
**Families Accepted**




**Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995**  
**Children Accepted**

	<u>At-Risk</u>	<u>Not At-Risk</u>	<u>All Children</u>
July 1994	269	97	366
August 1994	241	112	353
September 1994	342	112	454
October 1994	230	101	331
November 1994	261	92	353
December 1994	262	99	361
January 1995	345	136	481
February 1995	232	98	330
March 1995	285	116	401
April 1995	250	129	379
May 1995	264	126	390
June 1995	252	95	347
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3,233</b>	<b>1,313</b>	<b>4,546</b>

## Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995

### Children Accepted



### *Referral Source of Families*

Seventy-six percent (1,311) of the families accepted were referred to FPS by the Division of Family Services. Ten percent (172) of the families were referred by the Court. Fifty-five percent (716) of the families referred by DFS were from Site 701 and Site 702. Of the 716, 171 were from Jackson County, 376 were from St. Louis City and 169 were from St. Louis County (Appendix E).




**Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995**  
**Families Accepted by Referral Source Code**

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
DSS DFS	1,311	76%
Court	172	10%
Other	47	3%
Law Enforcement	42	2%
DMH CPS Outpatient	41	2%
Self	38	2%
Private Mental Health	33	2%
Family/Friends	11	1%
DSS DYS	10	1%
School System	6	0%*
DMH CPS Inpatient	6	0%*
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,717</b>	<b>100%</b>
DMH CPS Outpatient	DMH (Department of Mental Health) CPS (Comprehensive Psychiatric Services) Outpatient	
DMH CPS Inpatient	DMH (Department of Mental Health) CPS (Comprehensive Psychiatric Services) Inpatient	
DSS DYS	Department of Social Services, Division of Youth Services	

\* Less than one percent.

**Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995**  
**Families Accepted by Referral Source Code**



\* Other Referral Sources include: DMH CPS Inpatient, DMH CPS Outpatient, Law Enforcement, DSS DYS, Private Mental Health, Family/Friends, Self and School System.

## ***Families Exiting***

During the past fiscal year, 1,643 families exited FPS. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting FPS, 84 percent (1,389) of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact". Fourteen percent (223) of the families were not intact and two percent (31) of the families could not be located or information was not available. Forty-two percent (689) of the families exiting FPS were from Site 701 and Site 702. Of the 689, 179 were from Jackson County, 349 were from St. Louis City and 161 were from St. Louis County (Appendix G).

### **Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Status of Families Exiting**

	<b><u>Family Intact</u></b>	<b><u>Family Not Intact</u></b>	<b><u>Other</u></b>	<b><u>Total</u></b>
July 1994	93	16	3	112
August 1994	117	22	3	142
September 1994	118	28	1	147
October 1994	128	16	4	148
November 1994	98	20	1	119
December 1994	122	17	5	144
January 1995	127	16	2	145
February 1995	116	23	2	141
March 1995	137	21	6	164
April 1995	106	16	1	123
May 1995	132	15	2	149
June 1995	95	13	1	109
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,389</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>1,643</b>

This table includes only those families with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.


Exit status includes the following status codes:

**Family Intact** - Family Intact


**Family Not Intact** - Child Ran Away, Child Moved Out of Home (not placed), Child Living with Relative or Guardian (not Court Ordered), Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Court Ordered), In Foster Home or Group Home, In Residential Treatment, With Division of Youth Services (DYS), In Juvenile Justice and In In-Patient Psychiatric Diversion

**Other** - Can't Locate Family, Information Not Available and Other

## Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Status of Families Exiting



## Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Status of Families Exiting



## ***At-Risk Children Exiting***

During the past fiscal year, 3,061 at-risk children exited FPS. (A valid exit is one where the head of household's exit date is during the fiscal year and there is an exit status recorded.) Upon exiting FPS, 87 percent (2,644) of the at-risk children were reported to be in intact families. Eleven percent (343) of the at-risk children were not in intact families and two percent (74) of the at-risk children could not be located or information was not available. Forty-seven percent (1,447) of the at-risk children exiting FPS were from Site 701 and Site 702. Of the 1,447, 370 were from Jackson County, 781 were from St. Louis City and 296 were from St. Louis County.

### **Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Status of At-Risk Children Exiting**

	<b><u>Family Intact</u></b>	<b><u>Family Not Intact</u></b>	<b><u>Other</u></b>	<b><u>Total</u></b>
July 1994	202	27	12	241
August 1994	228	32	11	271
September 1994	242	47	2	291
October 1994	263	27	10	300
November 1994	174	31	3	208
December 1994	247	26	7	280
January 1995	227	23	8	258
February 1995	200	38	5	243
March 1995	275	31	10	316
April 1995	198	25	1	224
May 1995	219	20	3	242
June 1995	169	16	2	187
<b>State Total</b>	<b>2,644</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>3,061</b>

This table includes only those at-risk children where the head of household's exit date is during the fiscal year and there is an exit status recorded.


Exit status includes the following status codes:

**Family Intact** - Family Intact


**Family Not Intact** - Child Ran Away, Child Moved Out of Home (not placed), Child Living with Relative or Guardian (not Court Ordered), Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Court Ordered), In Foster Home or Group Home, In Residential Treatment, With Division of Youth Services (DYS), In Juvenile Justice and In In-Patient Psychiatric Diversion

**Other** - Can't Locate Family, Information Not Available and Other

### Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Status of At-Risk Children Exiting




### Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Status of At-Risk Children Exiting




## *Head of Household Characteristics of Families Accepted*

### **Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Sex of Head of Household**

Eighty-two percent (1,409) of the heads of household were females. Eighteen percent (307) were males.



### **Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Race of Head of Household**




Sixty-eight percent (1,159) of the heads of household were white. Thirty-one percent (534) were black and one percent (24) were not reported.

#### **Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Race of Head of Household**

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
White	1,159	68%
Black	534	31%
Not Reported	24	1%
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,717</b>	

## Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995

### Age of Head of Household



The most common head of household age group was from 30 to 39 years of age followed by those 23 to 29 years of age. Forty-six percent (781) of the heads of household were between 30 and 39 years of age. Twenty-one percent (353) of the heads of household were between the ages of 23 and 29.

## Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995


### Age of Head of Household

<u>Age Group</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
0-22	202	12%
23-29	353	21%
30-39	781	46%
40-49	282	16%
50-59	76	4%
60-69	20	1%
>=70	2	0% *
Not Reported	1	0% *
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,717</b>	


\* Less than one percent.

## Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Employment Status of Head of Household

Fifty-seven percent (969) of the heads of household were unemployed at the time of their entrance into FPS. Thirty-four percent (591) were employed full-time and nine percent (157) were employed part-time.



## Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Annual Income Level of Head of Household



Fifty-two percent (897) of the heads of household had an annual income level of less than \$10,000. Thirty-six percent (618) of the heads of household had an annual income level between \$10,000 and \$24,999, 12 percent (202) had an annual income level greater than \$25,000.

### Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Income Level of Head of Household

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
< \$5,000	415	24%
\$5,000 - \$9,999	482	28%
\$10,000-\$14,999	346	20%
\$15,000-\$24,999	272	16%
\$25,000-\$34,999	133	8%
\$35,000-\$49,999	48	3%
> \$50,000	21	1%
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,717</b>	



The most frequently addressed head of household problem was parenting skills, followed by parent/child conflict. Twenty percent (1,071) of household heads had a problem with parenting skills and 14 percent (729) had a parent/child conflict. Ten percent (525) of the household heads had a problem with communication and seven percent (372) with child neglect.

**Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995**  
**Head of Household Problems Addressed**

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Parenting Skills Problems	1,071	20%
Parent/Child Conflict	729	14%
Communication	525	10%
Child Neglect	372	7%
Shelter	274	5%
Physical Abuse	241	5%
Emotional Problems	219	4%
Severe Financial Problems	216	4%
School Problems	181	3%
Mental Health Problems	176	3%
Other	166	3%
Marital Conflict	135	3%
Drug Abuse	129	2%
Delinquent Behavior	119	2%
Alcohol Abuse	118	2%
Medical Illness/Disability	110	2%
Transportation	102	2%
Physical Violence	87	2%
Sexual Abuse	85	2%
Developmental Disability	62	1%
Runaway	60	1%
Suicide Attempts	34	1%
Criminal Behavior	26	0%*
Pregnancy	18	0%*
Prostitution	2	0%*
<b>State Total</b>	<b>5,257</b>	


The total of Head of Household Problems Addressed is greater than the total number of heads of household, because a head of household may have up to four problems addressed.

\* Less than one percent.


## *At-Risk Children Characteristics of Families Accepted*

### **Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Sex of At-Risk Children**

Slightly over half, 51 percent (1,645) of the at-risk children were males. Forty-nine percent (1,584) were females.



### **Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Race of At-Risk Children**




Fifty-nine percent (1,909) of the at-risk children were white. Thirty-nine percent (1,262) were black and two percent (62) were not reported.

### **Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Race of At-Risk Children**

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
White	1,909	59%
Black	1,262	39%
Not Reported	62	2%
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3,233</b>	

### Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Age of At-Risk Children



The most common age grouping for at-risk children was six to twelve years of age, followed by those between one and five years of age. Thirty-five percent (1,135) of the at-risk children were between six and twelve years of age. Thirty percent (961) were between one and five years of age, nine percent (284) were less than one year of age and the remaining 26 percent (849) were thirteen years or older.


### Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Age of At-Risk Children

<u>Age Group</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
< 1	284	9%
1-5	961	30%
6-12	1,135	35%
>=13	849	26%
Unknown	4	0%*
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3,233</b>	

\* Less than one percent.

Sixty percent (1,938) of the at-risk children had no special education needs. Eight percent (270) were learning disabled, six percent (192) had a behavior disorder and one percent (27) were emotionally disabled. The remaining 25 percent (806) either had no special education needs or special education needs not included in the above categories.

**Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995  
Special Education Category of At-Risk Children**



Seventy-four percent (2,377) of the at-risk children would have been placed in Foster Homes if they had not received FPS. Nine percent (286) of the at-risk children would have been placed in Residential Treatment, seven percent (239) in Relative Care, four percent (120) in Detention, three percent (112) in Emergency Shelter and the remaining three percent (99) in Psychiatric Hospital (Appendix F).

**Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995  
Planned Placement Prevented of At-Risk Children**

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Foster Home	2,377	74%
Residential Treatment	286	9%
Relative Care	239	7%
Detention	120	4%
Emergency Shelter	112	3%
Psychiatric Hospital	99	3%
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3,233</b>	

The most frequently addressed problem of at-risk children was parent/child conflict followed by child neglect and communication problems. Fourteen percent (1,070) of the at-risk children had a problem with parent/child conflict and ten percent (821) were neglected children. Ten percent (797) of the at-risk children also had a problem with parenting skills.

**Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995  
At-Risk Children Problems Addressed**

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Parent/Child Conflict	1,070	14%
Child Neglect	821	10%
Parenting Skills Problems	797	10%
Communication	737	9%
Shelter	646	8%
School Problems	569	7%
Emotional Problems	405	5%
Other	402	5%
Delinquent Behavior	343	4%
Physical Abuse	321	4%
Severe Financial Problems	274	3%
Sexual Abuse	197	2%
Mental Health Problems	189	2%
Medical Illness/Disability	184	2%
Physical Violence	155	2%
Drug Abuse	153	2%
Runaway	142	2%
Transportation	133	2%
Developmental Disability	108	1%
Alcohol Abuse	106	1%
Criminal Behavior	52	1%
Suicide Attempts	51	1%
Marital Conflict	35	0% *
Pregnancy	15	0% *
Prostitution	5	0% *
<b>State Total</b>	<b>7,910</b>	

The total of At-Risk Children Problems Addressed is greater than the total number of at-risk children because at-risk children may have up to four problems addressed.

\* Less than one percent.



# *Part II*

## *FPS Site Overviews*

The number of families and children accepted into FPS according to the Intake/Tracking System is different from the number of families and children referred and accepted in the Referral System because of the more complete capture of data in the Intake/Tracking System.

The number of families referred is from the Referral System, all other information is from the Intake/Tracking System.

The appendices contain additional FPS site information:

Appendix B - Reasons Families Not Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995

Appendix C - Children Referred During Fiscal Year 1995 by Imminent Risk Category

Appendix E - Families Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995 by Referral Source Code

Appendix F - At-Risk Children Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995 by Planned Placement Prevented

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 701

**County(s):** Jackson

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 501\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 171
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 469
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 362
- Head of Household's characteristics: 90 percent were female, 10 percent were male; 45 percent were white, 55 percent were black; 37 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 20 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 70 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 30 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 46 percent were female, 54 percent were male; 41 percent were white, 59 percent were black and 36 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 50 percent were less than 6 and 14 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 4 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 85 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 179 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 82 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.



**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 702

**County(s):** St. Louis City, St. Louis County

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 762\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 545
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 1,566
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 1,173
- Head of Household's characteristics: 90 percent were female, 10 percent were male; 26 percent were white, 74 percent were black; 45 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 11 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 65 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 34 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 51 percent were female, 49 percent were male; 16 percent were white, 84 percent were black and 36 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 44 percent were less than 6 and 20 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 6 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 69 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 510 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 88 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 703

**County(s):** Jefferson

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 202\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 97
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 217
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 153
- Head of Household's characteristics: 67 percent were female, 33 percent were male; 99 percent were white, 1 percent were black; 51 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 7 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 40 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 16 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 46 percent were female, 54 percent were male; 98 percent were white, 2 percent were black and 30 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 27 percent were less than 6 and 43 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 7 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 80 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 91 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 86 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 704

**County(s):** Christian, Dade, Greene, Polk, Webster

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 182\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 107
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 249
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 170
- Head of Household's characteristics: 84 percent were female, 16 percent were male; 99 percent were white, 1 percent were black; 43 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 16 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 49 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 20 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 45 percent were female, 55 percent were male; 99 percent were white, 1 percent were black and 39 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 36 percent were less than 6 and 25 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 12 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 75 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 102 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 89 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 705

**County(s):** Barry, Lawrence, McDonald, Newton

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 178\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 67
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 159
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 107
- Head of Household's characteristics: 79 percent were female, 21 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 46 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 10 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 49 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 18 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 44 percent were female, 56 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 37 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 23 percent were less than 6 and 40 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 12 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 73 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 68 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 91 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 706

**County(s):** Dunklin, Stoddard, Pemiscot

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 50\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 19
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 59
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 32
- Head of Household's characteristics: 79 percent were female, 21 percent were male; 63 percent were white, 37 percent were black; 21 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 11 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 79 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 5 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 25 percent were female, 75 percent were male; 66 percent were white, 34 percent were black and 56 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 28 percent were less than 6 and 16 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 9 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 91 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 20 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 95 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 707

**County(s):** Mississippi, New Madrid, Scott

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 38\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 20
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 56
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 46
- Head of Household's characteristics: 95 percent were female, 5 percent were male; 80 percent were white, 20 percent were black; 45 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 5 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 85 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 0 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 50 percent were female, 50 percent were male; 63 percent were white, 37 percent were black and 35 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 48 percent were less than 6 and 17 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 0 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 87 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 21 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 67 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 801

**County(s):** Atchison, Gentry, Holt, Nodaway, Worth

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 20\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 2
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 5
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 5
- Head of Household's characteristics: 100 percent were female, 0 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 100 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 0 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 50 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 0 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 80 percent were female, 20 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 80 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 0 percent were less than 6 and 20 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 20 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 100 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 2 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 100 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 802

**County(s):** Grundy, Harrison, Mercer

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 39\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 6
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 19
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 14
- Head of Household's characteristics: 67 percent were female, 33 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 83 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 0 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 33 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 0 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 64 percent were female, 36 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 57 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 0 percent were less than 6 and 43 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 7 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 64 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 6 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 67 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.



**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 803

**County(s):** Caldwell, Carroll, Daviess, Livingston

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 11\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 2
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 5
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 5
- Head of Household's characteristics: 50 percent were female, 50 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 0 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 0 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 50 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 0 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 20 percent were female, 80 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 40 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 40 percent were less than 6 and 20 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 20 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 100 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 2 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 100 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 804

**County(s):** Chariton, Howard, Randolph

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 39\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 8
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 21
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 14
- Head of Household's characteristics: 88 percent were female, 12 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 38 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 38 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 63 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 50 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 57 percent were female, 43 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 29 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 50 percent were less than 6 and 21 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 29 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 71 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 10 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 80 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 805

**County(s):** Marion, Monroe, Ralls

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 24\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 11
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 24
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 15
- Head of Household's characteristics: 45 percent were female, 55 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 36 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 18 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 55 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 18 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 53 percent were female, 47 percent were male; 93 percent were white, 7 percent were black and 27 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 33 percent were less than 6 and 40 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 13 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 60 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 11 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 82 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 806

**County(s):** Lincoln, Pike

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 36\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 13
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 29
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 20
- Head of Household's characteristics: 77 percent were female, 23 percent were male; 85 percent were white, 15 percent were black; 46 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 8 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 54 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 23 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 55 percent were female, 45 percent were male; 85 percent were white, 15 percent were black and 25 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 20 percent were less than 6 and 55 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 5 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 85 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 14 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 93 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 807

**County(s):** Boone, Callaway

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 0\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 24
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 61
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 31
- Head of Household's characteristics: 63 percent were female, 37 percent were male; 75 percent were white, 25 percent were black; 54 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 0 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 42 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 21 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 45 percent were female, 55 percent were male; 65 percent were white, 35 percent were black and 45 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 13 percent were less than 6 and 42 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 23 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 42 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 19 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 95 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 808

**County(s):** Camden, Dallas, Hickory, Laclede

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 29\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 10
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 24
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 21
- Head of Household's characteristics: 100 percent were female, 0 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 50 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 10 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 70 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 40 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 43 percent were female, 57 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 33 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 24 percent were less than 6 and 43 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 10 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 71 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 9 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 67 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 810

**County(s):** Douglas, Maries, Ozark, Phelps, Pulaski, Texas, Wright

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 107\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 40
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 124
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 74
- Head of Household's characteristics: 67 percent were female, 33 percent were male; 97 percent were white, 3 percent were black; 44 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 13 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 60 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 18 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 54 percent were female, 46 percent were male; 97 percent were white, 3 percent were black and 30 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 40 percent were less than 6 and 30 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 4 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 84 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 43 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 86 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 811

**County(s):** St. Charles

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 63\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 25
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 55
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 42
- Head of Household's characteristics: 88 percent were female, 12 percent were male; 88 percent were white, 12 percent were black; 28 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 16 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 40 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 16 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 71 percent were female, 29 percent were male; 83 percent were white, 17 percent were black and 24 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 53 percent were less than 6 and 23 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 5 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 76 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 26 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 81 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.



**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 812

**County(s):** Linn, Putnam, Sullivan

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 22\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 10
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 22
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 16
- Head of Household's characteristics: 100 percent were female, 0 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 67 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 11 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 33 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 11 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 40 percent were female, 60 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 33 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 34 percent were less than 6 and 33 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 33 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 100 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 9 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 100 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 901

**County(s):** Andrew, Buchanan, Clinton, DeKalb

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 66\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 47
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 128
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 104
- Head of Household's characteristics: 81 percent were female, 19 percent were male; 89 percent were white, 11 percent were black; 38 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 17 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 57 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 19 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 59 percent were female, 41 percent were male; 93 percent were white, 7 percent were black and 38 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 38 percent were less than 6 and 24 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 8 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 66 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 48 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 73 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 902

**County(s):** Clay, Platte, Ray

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 39\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 43
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 91
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 83
- Head of Household's characteristics: 79 percent were female, 21 percent were male; 95 percent were white, 5 percent were black; 44 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 12 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 58 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 26 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 48 percent were female, 52 percent were male; 95 percent were white, 5 percent were black and 23 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 59 percent were less than 6 and 18 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 2 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 70 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 42 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 81 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 904

**County(s):** Cass, Johnson, Lafayette

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 158\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 68
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 151
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 89
- Head of Household's characteristics: 75 percent were female, 25 percent were male; 99 percent were white, 1 percent were black; 53 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 7 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 34 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 28 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 42 percent were female, 58 percent were male; 98 percent were white, 2 percent were black and 30 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 22 percent were less than 6 and 48 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 9 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 45 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 55 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 86 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 905

**County(s):** Cooper, Pettis, Saline

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 80\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 44
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 129
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 76
- Head of Household's characteristics: 66 percent were female, 34 percent were male; 95 percent were white, 5 percent were black; 57 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 9 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 34 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 18 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 42 percent were female, 58 percent were male; 96 percent were white, 4 percent were black and 28 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 25 percent were less than 6 and 47 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 11 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 76 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 44 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 86 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 907

**County(s):** Audrain, Montgomery, Warren

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 75\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 39
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 101
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 59
- Head of Household's characteristics: 72 percent were female, 28 percent were male; 85 percent were white, 15 percent were black; 59 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 13 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 44 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 21 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 44 percent were female, 56 percent were male; 81 percent were white, 19 percent were black and 31 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 39 percent were less than 6 and 30 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 5 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 44 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 32 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 82 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 908

**County(s):** Franklin, Gasconade, Osage

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 43\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 35
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 87
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 55
- Head of Household's characteristics: 62 percent were female, 38 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 41 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 3 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 41 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 15 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 54 percent were female, 46 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 30 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 37 percent were less than 6 and 33 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 9 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 80 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 32 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 88 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 909

**County(s):** Cole, Miller, Moniteau, Morgan

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 51\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 31
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 76
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 61
- Head of Household's characteristics: 81 percent were female, 19 percent were male; 90 percent were white, 10 percent were black; 59 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 9 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 47 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 19 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 53 percent were female, 47 percent were male; 92 percent were white, 8 percent were black and 40 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 32 percent were less than 6 and 28 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 31 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 77 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 27 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 59 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.



**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 910

**County(s):** Bates, Benton, Henry, St. Clair

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 31\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 16
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 38
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 23
- Head of Household's characteristics: 75 percent were female, 25 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 38 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 19 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 50 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 19 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 22 percent were female, 78 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 26 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 35 percent were less than 6 and 39 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 9 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 83 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 17 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 71 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 911

**County(s):** Barton, Cedar, Vernon

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 0\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 2
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 4
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 2
- Head of Household's characteristics: 100 percent were female, 0 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 0 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 0 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 0 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 0 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 0 percent were female, 100 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 0 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 0 percent were less than 6 and 100 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 0 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 50 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 0 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 0 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 912

**County(s):** Jasper

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 12\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 6
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 18
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 13
- Head of Household's characteristics: 100 percent were female, 0 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 50 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 17 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 67 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 0 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 69 percent were female, 31 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 23 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 39 percent were less than 6 and 38 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 15 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 85 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 6 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 100 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 913

**County(s):** Crawford, Dent, Iron, Reynolds, Shannon

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 35\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 29
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 68
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 48
- Head of Household's characteristics: 90 percent were female, 10 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 59 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 10 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 55 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 10 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 33 percent were female, 67 percent were male; 98 percent were white, 2 percent were black and 33 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 25 percent were less than 6 and 42 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 21 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 81 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 28 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 68 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 914

**County(s):** Howell, Oregon

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 11\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 8
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 19
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 9
- Head of Household's characteristics: 88 percent were female, 12 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 50 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 0 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 63 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 13 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 67 percent were female, 33 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 33 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 33 percent were less than 6 and 34 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 0 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 89 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 7 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 86 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 915

**County(s):** Butler, Carter, Ripley, Wayne

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 79\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 25
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 69
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 63
- Head of Household's characteristics: 64 percent were female, 36 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 40 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 20 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 72 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 12 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 56 percent were female, 44 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 46 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 35 percent were less than 6 and 19 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 17 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 89 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 24 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 63 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 916

**County(s):** Bollinger, Cape Girardeau, Perry

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 98\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 37
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 90
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 47
- Head of Household's characteristics: 84 percent were female, 16 percent were male; 95 percent were white, 5 percent were black; 51 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 5 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 43 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 14 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 43 percent were female, 57 percent were male; 94 percent were white, 6 percent were black and 36 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 22 percent were less than 6 and 42 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 6 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 55 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 37 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 92 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 917

**County(s):** Madison, St. Francois, Ste. Genevieve, Washington

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 140\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 53
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 141
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 81
- Head of Household's characteristics: 81 percent were female, 19 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 45 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 9 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 60 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 21 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 52 percent were female, 48 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 35 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 17 percent were less than 6 and 48 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 10 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 67 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 55 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 89 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.



**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 918

**County(s):** Stone, Taney

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 42\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 18
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 50
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 37
- Head of Household's characteristics: 67 percent were female, 33 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 39 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 17 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 44 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 11 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 46 percent were female, 54 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 30 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 35 percent were less than 6 and 35 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 22 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 84 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 15 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 80 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 919

**County(s):** Adair, Knox, Macon, Shelby

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 50\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 21
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 64
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 55
- Head of Household's characteristics: 70 percent were female, 30 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 50 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 20 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 60 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 10 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 60 percent were female, 40 percent were male; 90 percent were white, 10 percent were black and 50 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 15 percent were less than 6 and 35 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 20 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 95 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 18 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 67 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.

**FAMILY PRESERVATION SERVICES (FPS)**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1995 ANNUAL REPORT**  
**July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995**

**Site:** 920

**County(s):** Clark, Lewis, Schuyler, Scotland

- Families referred during the fiscal year: 45\*
- Families accepted during the fiscal year: 18
- Total children accepted during the fiscal year: 58
- At-risk children accepted during the fiscal year: 28
- Head of Household's characteristics: 33 percent were female, 67 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black; 33 percent were 30 to 39 years of age, 0 percent were younger than 23 years of age; 33 percent were unemployed at the time of acceptance into the program; and 0 percent had income less than \$5,000.
- At-Risk Children's characteristics: 29 percent were female, 71 percent were male; 100 percent were white, 0 percent were black and 43 percent were between the ages of 6 and 12, 0 percent were less than 6 and 57 percent were 13 years of age and older. Additionally, 43 percent were learning disabled.
- If the children would have been placed out of the home, 71 percent would have been placed in foster homes.
- During the fiscal year, 14 families exited Family Preservation Services. (A valid exit is one with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is recorded.) Upon exiting Family Preservation, 86 percent of the families were reported to be intact. A family is considered to be intact if all at-risk children were coded "family intact".

\* The number of families referred is captured from the Referral System; all other information is captured from the Intake/Tracking System.



# *Part III*

## *Appendices*

The number of families and children accepted into FPS according to the Intake/Tracking System is different from the number of families and children referred and accepted in the Referral System because of the more complete capture of data in the Intake/Tracking System.

The Referral System was used for Appendices A, B and C. The Intake/Tracking System was used for Appendices D, E, F and G.

# Appendix A. Family Preservation Services

## Families Referred to Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Grouped by Referral County

SITE	COUNTY	REFERRALS ACCEPTED		REFERRALS NOT ACCEPTED		TOTAL REFERRALS
		NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	
SITE 701	JACKSON	169	33.7	332	66.3	501
	* SITE TOTAL *	169	33.7	332	66.3	501
SITE 702	ST LOUIS CITY	394	73.6	141	26.4	535
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	180	79.3	47	20.7	227
	* SITE TOTAL *	574	75.3	188	24.7	762
SITE 703	JEFFERSON	98	48.5	104	51.5	202
	* SITE TOTAL *	98	48.5	104	51.5	202
SITE 704	CHRISTIAN	32	72.7	12	27.3	44
	DADE	5	62.5	3	37.5	8
	GREENE	68	60.2	45	39.8	113
	POLK	0	0.0	6	100.0	6
	WEBSTER	5	45.5	6	54.5	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	110	60.4	72	39.6	182
SITE 705	BARRY	10	28.6	25	71.4	35
	LAWRENCE	19	51.4	18	48.6	37
	MCDONALD	14	31.8	30	68.2	44
	NEWTON	24	38.7	38	61.3	62
	* SITE TOTAL *	67	37.6	111	62.4	178
SITE 706	DUNKLIN	12	35.3	22	64.7	34
	PEMISCOT	6	46.2	7	53.8	13
	STODDARD	2	66.7	1	33.3	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	40.0	30	60.0	50
	MISSISSIPPI	10	83.3	2	16.7	12
SITE 707	NEW MADRID	6	54.5	5	45.5	11
	SCOTT	5	33.3	10	66.7	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	55.3	17	44.7	38
	ATCHISON	0	0.0	2	100.0	2
SITE 801	GENTRY	0	0.0	3	100.0	3
	HOLT	0	0.0	2	100.0	2
	NODAWAY	4	30.8	9	69.2	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	20.0	16	80.0	20
	GRUNDY	3	100.0	0	0.0	3
SITE 802	HARRISON	2	6.7	28	93.3	30
	MERCER	3	50.0	3	50.0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	20.5	31	79.5	39
	CALDWELL	0	0.0	1	100.0	1
SITE 803	CARROLL	0	0.0	2	100.0	2
	DAVIESS	0	0.0	4	100.0	4
	LIVINGSTON	1	25.0	3	75.0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	9.1	10	90.9	11
	CHARITON	1	33.3	2	66.7	3
SITE 804	HOWARD	0	0.0	4	100.0	4
	RANDOLPH	10	31.3	22	68.8	32
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	28.2	28	71.8	39
	MARION	5	38.5	8	61.5	13
SITE 805	MONROE	2	50.0	2	50.0	4
	RALLS	3	42.9	4	57.1	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	41.7	14	58.3	24
	LINCOLN	11	37.9	18	62.1	29
SITE 806	PIKE	2	28.6	5	71.4	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	36.1	23	63.9	36
SITE 808	CAMDEN	5	50.0	5	50.0	10
	DALLAS	2	28.6	5	71.4	7
	HICKORY	1	33.3	2	66.7	3
	LACLEDE	3	33.3	6	66.7	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	37.9	18	62.1	29
SITE 810	DOUGLAS	0	0.0	5	100.0	5
	MARIES	2	66.7	1	33.3	3
	OZARK	1	100.0	0	0.0	1
	PHELPS	11	55.0	9	45.0	20
	PULASKI	9	21.4	33	78.6	42
	TEXAS	7	36.8	12	63.2	19
	WRIGHT	10	58.8	7	41.2	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	40	37.4	67	62.6	107
SITE 811	ST CHARLES	23	36.5	40	63.5	63
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	36.5	40	63.5	63
SITE 812	LINN	9	64.3	5	35.7	14
	PUTNAM	2	100.0	0	0.0	2
	SULLIVAN	3	50.0	3	50.0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	63.6	8	36.4	22
SITE 901	ANDREW	3	60.0	2	40.0	5
	BUCHANAN	27	62.8	16	37.2	43
	CLINTON	7	43.8	9	56.3	16
	DE KALB	0	0.0	2	100.0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	37	56.1	29	43.9	66

**Appendix A. (continued) Family Preservation Services**
**Families Referred to Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995 Grouped by Referral County**

SITE	COUNTY	REFERRALS ACCEPTED		REFERRALS NOT ACCEPTED		TOTAL REFERRALS
		NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	
SITE 902	CLAY	18	94.7	1	5.3	19
	PLATTE	11	100.0	0	0.0	11
	RAY	8	88.9	1	11.1	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	37	94.9	2	5.1	39
SITE 904	CASS	36	42.9	48	57.1	84
	JOHNSON	23	52.3	21	47.7	44
	LAFAYETTE	16	53.3	14	46.7	30
	* SITE TOTAL *	75	47.5	83	52.5	158
SITE 905	COOPER	4	30.8	9	69.2	13
	PETTIS	23	54.8	19	45.2	42
	SALINE	18	72.0	7	28.0	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	45	56.3	35	43.8	80
SITE 907	AUDRAIN	22	78.6	6	21.4	28
	MONTGOMERY	8	47.1	9	52.9	17
	WARREN	19	63.3	11	36.7	30
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	65.3	26	34.7	75
SITE 908	FRANKLIN	24	80.0	6	20.0	30
	GASCONADE	3	42.9	4	57.1	7
	OSAGE	3	50.0	3	50.0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	30	69.8	13	30.2	43
SITE 909	COLE	17	56.7	13	43.3	30
	MILLER	7	53.8	6	46.2	13
	MONITEAU	1	33.3	2	66.7	3
	MORGAN	2	40.0	3	60.0	5
SITE 910	* SITE TOTAL *	27	52.9	24	47.1	51
	BATES	4	44.4	5	55.6	9
	BENTON	5	71.4	2	28.6	7
	HENRY	5	38.5	8	61.5	13
SITE 912	ST CLAIR	2	100.0	0	0.0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	51.6	15	48.4	31
	JASPER	8	66.7	4	33.3	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	66.7	4	33.3	12
SITE 913	CRAWFORD	8	100.0	0	0.0	8
	DENT	2	100.0	0	0.0	2
	IRON	6	60.0	4	40.0	10
	REYNOLDS	6	85.7	1	14.3	7
SITE 914	SHANNON	6	75.0	2	25.0	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	28	80.0	7	20.0	35
	HOWELL	8	80.0	2	20.0	10
	OREGON	0	0.0	1	100.0	1
SITE 915	* SITE TOTAL *	8	72.7	3	27.3	11
	BUTLER	9	31.0	20	69.0	29
	CARTER	7	53.8	6	46.2	13
	RIPLEY	6	42.9	8	57.1	14
SITE 916	WAYNE	7	30.4	16	69.6	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	29	36.7	50	63.3	79
	BOLLINGER	9	64.3	5	35.7	14
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	24	40.7	35	59.3	59
SITE 917	PERRY	3	12.0	22	88.0	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	36	36.7	62	63.3	98
	MADISON	5	26.3	14	73.7	19
	ST FRANCOIS	33	39.8	50	60.2	83
SITE 918	STE GENEVIEVE	10	50.0	10	50.0	20
	WASHINGTON	7	38.9	11	61.1	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	55	39.3	85	60.7	140
	STONE	10	50.0	10	50.0	20
SITE 919	TANEY	7	31.8	15	68.2	22
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	40.5	25	59.5	42
	ADAIR	12	60.0	8	40.0	20
	KNOX	4	40.0	6	60.0	10
SITE 920	MACON	3	27.3	8	72.7	11
	SHELBY	2	22.2	7	77.8	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	42.0	29	58.0	50
	CLARK	5	62.5	3	37.5	8
SITE 920	LEWIS	6	31.6	13	68.4	19
	SCHUYLER	3	42.9	4	57.1	7
	SCOTLAND	5	45.5	6	54.5	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	19	42.2	26	57.8	45
STATE TOTAL		1,731	51.5	1,627	48.5	3,358

**Appendix B. Family Preservation Services**  
**Reasons Families Not Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995**

**REASON NOT ACCEPTED CODES**

SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	21	TOTAL
SITE 701	JACKSON	166	20	43	88	45	17	5	208	1	2	6	601
	* SITE TOTAL *	166	20	43	88	45	17	5	208	1	2	6	601
SITE 702	ST LOUIS CITY	70	23	5	29	74	11	22	22	0	0	10	266
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	24	4	0	5	23	4	4	6	1	0	1	72
	* SITE TOTAL *	94	27	5	34	97	15	26	28	1	0	11	338
SITE 703	JEFFERSON	79	7	12	10	16	6	0	28	0	0	9	167
	* SITE TOTAL *	79	7	12	10	16	6	0	28	0	0	9	167
SITE 704	CHRISTIAN	4	0	0	0	10	1	0	1	0	0	10	26
	DADE	0	0	0	0	6	0	4	0	0	0	0	10
	GREENE	14	0	0	10	19	7	5	9	0	0	33	97
	POLK	4	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	16
	WEBSTER	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	0	0	10	41	8	9	19	0	0	47	161
SITE 705	BARRY	3	23	0	0	5	0	1	1	0	0	2	35
	LAWRENCE	5	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	31
	MCDONALD	0	54	0	0	3	0	1	3	0	0	3	64
	NEWTON	2	54	0	0	2	4	0	3	0	0	4	69
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	155	0	0	10	5	2	7	0	0	10	199
SITE 706	DUNKLIN	2	3	7	0	2	3	1	2	2	0	1	23
	PEMISCOT	6	2	0	0	3	1	0	5	0	0	0	17
	STODDARD	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	5	7	0	5	4	1	7	2	0	1	41
SITE 707	MISSISSIPPI	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	NEW MADRID	1	0	3	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	8
	SCOTT	3	4	3	1	1	0	0	7	0	0	1	20
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	4	6	1	4	0	0	8	1	0	1	32
SITE 801	ATCHISON	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	GENTRY	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	HOLT	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	NODAWAY	0	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	4	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
SITE 802	HARRISON	1	7	3	17	6	4	4	10	0	0	0	52
	MERCER	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	7	3	17	6	5	4	15	0	0	0	58
SITE 803	CALDWELL	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CARROLL	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	DAVISS	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	9
	LIVINGSTON	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	9	7	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	22

**Reason Not Accepted Codes:**

- 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
- 11 No Opening in FPS/FF - Child at Home
- 12 No Opening in FPS/FF - Child will be Placed
- 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
- 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
- 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
- 16 Unable to Contact Family
- 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
- 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
- 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
- 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
- 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through



**Appendix B. (continued) Family Preservation Services  
Reasons Families Not Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995**

**REASON NOT ACCEPTED CODES**

SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	21	TOTAL
SITE 804	CHARITON	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	HOWARD	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
	RANDOLPH	3	2	5	1	2	2	1	9	0	0	1	26
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	6	5	2	2	2	1	9	0	0	2	33
SITE 805	MARION	3	1	2	0	1	0	4	4	0	0	0	15
	MONROE	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	RALLS	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	2	2	0	1	1	5	4	0	0	0	27
SITE 806	LINCOLN	11	4	2	2	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	29
	PIKE	4	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	5	2	3	8	0	1	0	1	0	1	36
SITE 808	CAMDEN	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	11
	DALLAS	7	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	HICKORY	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	LACLEDE	9	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	12	5	7	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	47
SITE 810	DOUGLAS	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	MARIES	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PHELPS	6	3	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	14
	PULASKI	16	4	8	0	5	0	0	14	0	0	4	51
	TEXAS	3	4	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	16
	WRIGHT	1	6	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	30	21	16	2	13	0	0	16	0	0	7	105
	ST CHARLES	24	21	3	8	5	3	2	1	3	0	3	73
SITE 811	* SITE TOTAL *	24	21	3	8	5	3	2	1	3	0	3	73
SITE 812	LINN	4	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	8
	SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	1	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	11
SITE 901	ANDREW	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	BUCHANAN	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
	CLINTON	0	6	6	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	19
	DE KALB	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	46	6	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	61
SITE 902	CLAY	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	RAY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

**Reason Not Accepted Codes:**

- 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
- 11 No Opening in FPS/FF - Child at Home
- 12 No Opening in FPS/FF - Child will be Placed
- 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
- 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
- 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
- 16 Unable to Contact Family
- 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
- 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
- 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
- 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
- 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through

**Appendix B. (continued) Family Preservation Services  
Reasons Families Not Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995**

REASON NOT ACCEPTED CODES													
SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	21	TOTAL
SITE 904	CASS	5	1	8	9	3	7	0	28	0	2	4	67
	JOHNSON	2	0	5	0	6	4	0	5	0	0	2	24
	LAFAYETTE	0	2	3	2	0	2	0	8	0	0	0	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	3	16	11	9	13	0	41	0	2	6	108
SITE 905	COOPER	2	3	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	11
	PETTIS	4	9	2	1	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	21
	SALINE	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	13	5	1	5	0	0	6	0	2	2	41
SITE 907	AUDRAIN	0	5	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	10
	MONTGOMERY	5	1	3	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	13
	WARREN	11	1	0	0	10	0	0	4	0	0	0	26
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	7	4	0	14	1	2	5	0	0	0	49
SITE 908	FRANKLIN	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	6
	GASCONADE	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4
	OSAGE	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	6	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	13
SITE 909	COLE	0	0	1	8	7	4	1	1	0	0	0	22
	MILLER	2	0	1	0	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	9
	MONITEAU	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	MORGAN	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	0	2	9	10	6	3	4	0	0	0	36
SITE 910	BATES	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	6
	BENTON	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
	HENRY	2	0	0	0	5	2	0	2	1	0	0	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	0	0	0	6	4	1	4	2	0	0	20
SITE 912	JASPER	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
SITE 913	IRON	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
	REYNOLDS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	SHANNON	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	7
SITE 914	HOWELL	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	OREGON	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3

**Reason Not Accepted Codes:**

- 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
- 11 No Opening in FPS/FF - Child at Home
- 12 No Opening in FPS/FF - Child will be Placed
- 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
- 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
- 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
- 16 Unable to Contact Family
- 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
- 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
- 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
- 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
- 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through

**Appendix B. (continued) Family Preservation Services  
Reasons Families Not Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995**

**REASON NOT ACCEPTED CODES**

SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	21	TOTAL
SITE 915	BUTLER	1	6	1	5	17	1	3	6	0	0	8	48
	CARTER	0	4	0	0	2	1	0	4	0	0	0	11
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	7	0	2	2	0	0	0	11
	WAYNE	1	16	4	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	2	31
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	26	5	5	27	2	5	19	0	0	10	101
SITE 916	BOLLINGER	0	3	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	6	7	6	0	7	8	1	0	0	0	0	35
	PERRY	0	11	3	0	3	3	0	3	0	0	2	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	21	9	1	12	12	1	3	0	0	2	67
	MADISON	1	7	7	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
SITE 917	ST FRANCOIS	3	27	7	4	2	5	0	2	0	0	0	50
	STE GENEVIEVE	3	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	WASHINGTON	0	4	6	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	44	21	8	4	5	0	3	0	0	0	92
	STONE	0	14	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	19
SITE 918	TANEY	0	25	4	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	39	7	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	56
	ADAIR	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	8	0	0	0	12
	KNOX	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
	MACON	0	0	2	1	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	8
SITE 919	SHELBY	1	0	0	2	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	2	3	3	12	2	0	11	0	0	0	34
	CLARK	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	LEWIS	0	3	6	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	SCHUYLER	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
SITE 920	SCOTLAND	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	6	10	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	30
STATE TOTAL		558	523	226	222	389	114	69	456	11	8	122	2,698

**Reason Not Accepted Codes:**

- 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
- 11 No Opening in FPS/FF - Child at Home
- 12 No Opening in FPS/FF - Child will be Placed
- 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
- 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
- 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
- 16 Unable to Contact Family
- 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
- 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
- 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
- 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
- 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through

**Appendix C. Family Preservation Services**  
**Children Referred During Fiscal Year 1995 by Imminent Risk Category**

SITE	COUNTY	REFERRAL	PHYSICAL	NEGLECT	SEXUAL	MEDICAL	EDUCA-
		NOT ACCEPTED					
			ABUSE		MAL- TREATMENT	NEGLECT	TIONAL NEGLECT
SITE 701	JACKSON	601	32	115	0	5	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	601	32	115	0	5	10
SITE 702	ST LOUIS CITY	266	121	420	6	45	8
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	72	55	97	5	2	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	338	176	517	11	47	18
SITE 703	JEFFERSON	167	16	38	7	5	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	167	16	38	7	5	0
SITE 704	CHRISTIAN	26	6	8	0	0	2
	DADE	10	1	3	0	0	0
	GREENE	97	16	10	2	3	0
	POLK	16	0	0	0	0	0
	WEBSTER	12	1	2	0	2	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	161	24	23	2	5	3
SITE 705	BARRY	35	0	7	5	0	0
	LAWRENCE	31	5	20	1	0	0
	MCDONALD	64	4	17	0	0	1
	NEWTON	69	0	12	1	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	199	9	56	7	0	1
SITE 706	DUNKLIN	23	1	5	0	1	0
	PEMISCOT	17	0	7	2	0	3
	STODDARD	1	0	0	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	41	1	12	2	1	3
SITE 707	MISSISSIPPI	4	10	7	3	0	2
	NEW MADRID	8	0	11	4	0	0
	SCOTT	20	1	5	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	32	11	23	7	0	3
SITE 801	ATCHISON	2	0	0	0	0	0
	GENTRY	3	0	0	0	0	0
	HOLT	3	0	0	0	0	0
	NODAWAY	12	0	5	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	0	5	0	0	0
SITE 802	GRUNDY	0	3	3	0	0	0
	HARRISON	52	3	1	0	0	0
	MERCER	6	0	3	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	58	6	7	0	0	1
SITE 803	CALDWELL	4	0	0	0	0	0
	CARROLL	3	0	0	0	0	0
	DAVIESS	9	0	0	0	0	0
	LIVINGSTON	6	0	3	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	22	0	3	0	0	0
SITE 804	CHARITON	2	0	0	2	0	0
	HOWARD	5	0	0	0	0	0
	RANDOLPH	26	3	2	0	2	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	33	3	2	2	2	0
SITE 805	MARION	15	0	0	0	0	0
	MONROE	8	1	0	0	0	0
	RALLS	4	0	1	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	1	1	0	0	0
SITE 806	LINCOLN	29	8	0	0	0	1
	PIKE	7	1	0	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	36	9	0	0	0	1
SITE 808	CAMDEN	11	0	11	0	0	1
	DALLAS	14	0	0	0	0	0
	HICKORY	4	0	5	0	0	0
	LACLEDE	18	0	4	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	47	0	20	0	0	3
SITE 810	DOUGLAS	8	0	0	0	0	0
	MARIES	2	0	0	0	0	0
	OZARK	0	0	4	0	0	0
	PHELPS	14	5	7	0	0	0
	PULASKI	51	5	7	3	0	0
	TEXAS	16	6	2	0	0	1
	WRIGHT	14	2	1	3	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	105	20	21	6	0	1
SITE 811	ST CHARLES	73	7	15	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	73	7	15	0	0	0
SITE 812	LINN	8	2	0	4	0	3
	PUTNAM	0	0	1	0	0	0
	SULLIVAN	3	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	2	1	4	0	4
SITE 901	ANDREW	5	2	4	0	0	0
	BUCHANAN	34	2	42	10	3	0
	CLINTON	19	6	4	0	1	0
	DE KALB	3	0	0	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	61	10	50	10	4	0

**Appendix C. (continued) Family Preservation Services**  
**Children Referred During Fiscal Year 1995 by Imminent Risk Category**

SITE	COUNTY	EMOTIONAL	EMOTIONAL	STATUS	DELINQUENCY	OTHER	REFERRALS
		MAL- TREATMENT					
			DISTURBANCE	OFFENSE			ACCEPTED
SITE 701	JACKSON	21	2	3	0	144	332
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	2	3	0	144	332
SITE 702	ST LOUIS CITY	32	24	16	26	212	910
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	4	27	19	12	94	325
	* SITE TOTAL *	36	51	35	38	306	1,235
SITE 703	JEFFERSON	1	26	27	7	24	151
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	26	27	7	24	151
SITE 704	CHRISTIAN	1	4	3	12	24	60
	DADE	0	2	0	1	5	12
	GREENE	5	16	3	11	91	157
	POLK	0	0	0	0	0	0
	WEBSTER	0	0	1	0	8	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	22	7	24	128	244
SITE 705	BARRY	0	0	6	8	1	27
	LAWRENCE	0	4	0	3	0	33
	MCDONALD	0	1	1	0	1	25
	NEWTON	0	2	8	10	1	34
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	7	15	21	3	119
SITE 706	DUNKLIN	1	1	4	0	2	15
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	1	13
	STODDARD	0	0	0	1	1	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1	4	1	4	30
SITE 707	MISSISSIPPI	0	1	1	0	0	24
	NEW MADRID	0	1	0	0	0	16
	SCOTT	0	1	0	0	0	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	3	1	0	0	48
SITE 801	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	0	0
	GENTRY	0	0	0	0	0	0
	HOLT	0	0	0	0	0	0
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	4	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	4	9
SITE 802	GRUNDY	0	0	1	0	0	7
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	0	4
	MERCER	2	0	1	0	0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	0	2	0	0	18
SITE 803	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	0	0
	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DAVIESS	0	0	0	0	0	0
	LIVINGSTON	0	0	0	0	0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	0	3
SITE 804	CHARITON	0	0	0	2	0	4
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	0	0
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	2	2	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	4	2	15
SITE 805	MARION	0	0	0	0	8	8
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	1	2
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	3	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	12	14
SITE 806	LINCOLN	2	0	2	0	4	17
	PIKE	0	0	0	0	1	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	0	2	0	5	19
SITE 808	CAMDEN	0	1	0	0	0	13
	DALLAS	6	0	0	0	0	6
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	0	5
	LACLEDE	0	0	1	0	0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	1	1	0	0	31
SITE 810	DOUGLAS	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MARIES	0	0	0	0	1	3
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	0	4
	PHELPS	1	0	1	0	1	15
	PULASKI	0	1	0	0	0	16
	TEXAS	0	0	1	0	0	10
	WRIGHT	0	0	5	0	6	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1	7	0	8	65
SITE 811	ST CHARLES	2	1	0	0	17	42
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1	0	0	17	42
SITE 812	LINN	0	0	2	0	6	17
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	1	2
	SULLIVAN	0	0	2	0	0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	4	0	7	22
SITE 901	ANDREW	0	0	2	0	0	8
	BUCHANAN	1	0	2	0	15	75
	CLINTON	0	0	3	0	3	17
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	7	0	18	100

**Appendix C. (continued) Family Preservation Services**  
**Children Referred During Fiscal Year 1995 by Imminent Risk Category**

SITE	COUNTY	REFERRAL NOT ACCEPTED	PHYSICAL ABUSE	NEGLECT	SEXUAL MAL- TREATMENT	MEDICAL NEGLECT	EDUCA- TIONAL NEGLECT
SITE 902	CLAY	4	2	26	0	0	0
	PLATTE	0	7	10	2	0	1
	RAY	1	3	7	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	12	43	2	0	1
SITE 904	CASS	67	7	14	0	1	1
	JOHNSON	24	5	10	2	0	0
	LAFAYETTE	17	2	4	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	108	14	28	2	1	2
SITE 905	COOPER	11	4	1	0	0	0
	PETTIS	21	6	10	0	0	0
	SALINE	9	7	9	0	1	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	41	17	20	0	1	2
SITE 907	AUDRAIN	10	3	15	0	0	2
	MONTGOMERY	13	2	1	0	2	0
	WARREN	26	3	5	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	8	21	0	2	2
SITE 908	FRANKLIN	6	9	12	1	0	3
	GASCONADE	4	0	1	4	0	0
	OSAGE	3	0	0	1	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	9	13	6	0	3
SITE 909	COLE	22	6	12	5	0	0
	MILLER	9	0	5	0	2	4
	MONITEAU	2	0	0	0	0	1
	MORGAN	3	4	0	0	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	36	10	17	5	2	9
SITE 910	BATES	6	1	3	0	0	0
	BENTON	2	1	5	0	0	0
	HENRY	12	3	1	0	0	0
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	5	9	0	0	0
SITE 912	JASPER	4	4	5	4	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	4	5	4	0	0
SITE 913	CRAWFORD	0	0	7	0	0	2
	DENT	0	0	5	0	0	0
	IRON	4	8	0	0	0	0
	REYNOLDS	1	1	11	1	0	0
	SHANNON	2	2	2	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	11	25	1	0	2
SITE 914	HOWELL	2	3	5	0	0	0
	OREGON	1	0	0	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	3	5	0	0	0
SITE 915	BUTLER	48	1	16	0	0	0
	CARTER	11	0	5	2	0	0
	RIPLEY	11	0	10	0	0	0
	WAYNE	31	6	5	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	101	7	36	2	0	0
SITE 916	BOLLINGER	7	2	4	0	0	0
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	35	1	3	1	0	1
	PERRY	25	0	0	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	67	3	7	1	0	1
SITE 917	MADISON	19	0	3	0	0	3
	ST FRANCOIS	50	7	3	0	0	2
	STE GENEVIEVE	10	1	4	0	0	1
	WASHINGTON	13	1	7	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	92	9	17	0	0	6
SITE 918	STONE	19	10	8	2	0	0
	TANEY	37	1	3	0	1	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	56	11	11	2	1	2
SITE 919	ADAIR	12	6	11	6	1	0
	KNOX	6	0	0	5	0	0
	MACON	8	1	2	0	5	0
	SHELBY	8	0	1	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	34	7	14	11	6	0
SITE 920	CLARK	6	0	0	0	0	0
	LEWIS	13	0	3	0	0	0
	SCHUYLER	5	0	0	2	0	0
	SCOTLAND	6	0	0	0	0	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	30	0	3	2	0	0
STATE TOTAL		2,698	457	1,183	96	82	78

**Appendix C. (continued) Family Preservation Services**  
**Children Referred During Fiscal Year 1995 by Imminent Risk Category**

SITE	COUNTY	EMOTIONAL MAL- TREATMENT	EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE	STATUS OFFENSE	DELINQUENCY	OTHER	REFERRAL ACCEPTED
SITE 902	CLAY	0	1	0	0	1	30
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	0	20
	RAY	0	0	0	2	3	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	2	4	65
SITE 904	CASS	0	4	2	8	8	45
	JOHNSON	2	3	4	1	6	33
	LAFAYETTE	0	2	2	5	2	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	9	8	14	16	96
SITE 905	COOPER	0	1	2	0	0	8
	PETTIS	0	0	10	4	10	40
	SALINE	0	4	5	0	3	31
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	5	17	4	13	79
SITE 907	AUDRAIN	5	2	0	1	5	33
	MONTGOMERY	0	1	2	2	0	10
	WARREN	0	5	3	3	6	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	8	5	6	11	68
SITE 908	FRANKLIN	1	6	0	1	3	36
	GASCONADE	0	1	0	0	0	6
	OSAGE	0	2	0	0	0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	9	0	1	3	45
SITE 909	COLE	0	1	4	0	3	31
	MILLER	0	0	5	0	0	16
	MONITEAU	0	0	0	0	0	1
	MORGAN	0	0	0	0	0	8
SITE 910	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	9	0	3	56
	BATES	0	1	0	1	0	6
	BENTON	0	1	1	0	0	8
	HENRY	0	1	0	1	1	7
SITE 912	ST CLAIR	0	2	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	5	1	2	1	23
	JASPER	1	0	1	0	1	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	1	0	1	16
SITE 913	CRAWFORD	0	3	0	0	0	12
	DENT	0	0	0	0	0	5
	IRON	0	0	0	2	1	11
	REYNOLDS	0	0	0	0	1	14
SITE 914	SHANNON	0	0	0	2	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	3	0	4	2	48
	HOWELL	0	0	0	3	1	12
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0
SITE 915	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	3	1	12
	BUTLER	0	0	0	1	1	19
	CARTER	0	0	0	0	5	12
	RIPLEY	1	0	0	0	9	20
SITE 916	WAYNE	3	0	0	0	2	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	0	0	1	17	67
	BOLLINGER	0	1	4	0	0	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	5	4	6	4	25
SITE 917	PERRY	0	0	2	1	0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	6	10	7	4	39
	MADISON	0	2	0	0	0	8
	ST FRANCOIS	1	7	6	6	1	33
SITE 918	STE GENEVIEVE	0	3	2	0	1	12
	WASHINGTON	1	0	0	0	0	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	12	8	6	2	62
	STONE	1	0	1	0	4	26
SITE 919	TANEY	0	0	0	0	6	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	1	0	10	39
	ADAIR	9	0	0	0	2	35
	KNOX	1	0	1	0	3	10
SITE 920	MACON	0	0	0	0	0	8
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	3	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	0	1	0	8	57
	CLARK	0	1	2	1	2	6
SITE 920	LEWIS	0	0	2	2	1	8
	SCHUYLER	0	0	2	0	0	4
	SCOTLAND	0	0	3	1	1	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	9	4	4	23
STATE TOTAL		105	175	185	149	782	3,292

# Appendix D. Family Preservation Services

## Families and Children Accepted in Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVG. # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVG. # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 701	JACKSON	171	469	2.7	362	2.1
	* SITE TOTAL *	171	469	2.7	362	2.1
SITE 702	ST LOUIS CITY	376	1,093	2.9	848	2.3
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	169	473	2.8	325	1.9
	* SITE TOTAL *	545	1,566	2.9	1,173	2.2
SITE 703	JEFFERSON	97	217	2.2	153	1.6
	* SITE TOTAL *	97	217	2.2	153	1.6
SITE 704	CHRISTIAN	33	71	2.2	51	1.5
	DADE	7	18	2.6	11	1.6
	GREENE	60	144	2.4	99	1.6
	POLK	1	1	1.0	1	1.0
	WEBSTER	6	15	2.5	8	1.3
	* SITE TOTAL *	107	249	2.3	170	1.6
SITE 705	BARRY	12	41	3.4	28	2.3
	LAWRENCE	19	43	2.3	29	1.5
	MCDONALD	13	23	1.8	21	1.6
	NEWTON	23	52	2.3	29	1.3
	* SITE TOTAL *	67	159	2.4	107	1.6
SITE 706	DUNKLIN	12	32	2.7	15	1.2
	PEMISCOT	5	22	4.4	15	3.0
	STODDARD	2	5	2.5	2	1.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	19	59	3.1	32	1.7
SITE 707	MISSISSIPPI	10	31	3.1	26	2.6
	NEW MADRID	6	18	3.0	16	2.7
	SCOTT	4	7	1.7	4	1.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	56	2.8	46	2.3
SITE 801	NODAWAY	2	5	2.5	5	2.5
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	5	2.5	5	2.5
SITE 802	GRUNDY	2	7	3.5	4	2.0
	HARRISON	1	3	3.0	3	3.0
	MERCER	3	9	3.0	7	2.3
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	19	3.2	14	2.3
SITE 803	CALDWELL	1	1	1.0	1	1.0
	CARROLL	1	4	4.0	4	4.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	5	2.5	5	2.5
SITE 804	CHARITON	1	4	4.0	1	1.0
	RANDOLPH	7	17	2.4	13	1.9
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	21	2.6	14	1.7
SITE 805	MARION	8	18	2.3	12	1.5
	MONROE	1	3	3.0	1	1.0
	RALLS	2	3	1.5	2	1.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	24	2.2	15	1.4
SITE 806	LINCOLN	11	23	2.1	18	1.6
	PIKE	2	6	3.0	2	1.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	29	2.2	20	1.5
SITE 807	BOONE	18	47	2.6	25	1.4
	CALLAWAY	6	14	2.3	6	1.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	24	61	2.5	31	1.3
SITE 808	CAMDEN	5	12	2.4	10	2.0
	DALLAS	1	3	3.0	3	3.0
	LACLEDE	4	9	2.3	8	2.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	24	2.4	21	2.1
SITE 810	MARIES	2	16	8.0	3	1.5
	OZARK	1	4	4.0	4	4.0
	PHELPS	11	29	2.6	20	1.8
	PULASKI	12	25	2.1	21	1.7
	TEXAS	6	23	3.8	14	2.3
	WRIGHT	8	27	3.4	12	1.5
	* SITE TOTAL *	40	124	3.1	74	1.8
SITE 811	ST CHARLES	25	55	2.2	42	1.7
	* SITE TOTAL *	25	55	2.2	42	1.7
SITE 812	LINN	7	16	2.3	13	1.9
	PUTNAM	1	1	1.0	1	1.0
	SULLIVAN	2	5	2.5	2	1.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	22	2.2	16	1.6
SITE 901	ANDREW	10	25	2.5	18	1.8
	BUCHANAN	28	83	3.0	69	2.5
	CLINTON	8	18	2.3	15	1.9
	DE KALB	1	2	2.0	2	2.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	47	128	2.7	104	2.2
SITE 902	CLAY	22	50	2.3	43	2.0
	PLATTE	13	23	1.8	22	1.7
	RAY	8	18	2.3	18	2.3
	* SITE TOTAL *	43	91	2.1	83	1.9



**Appendix D. (continued) Family Preservation Services**  
**Families and Children Accepted in Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995**

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVG. # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVG. # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 904	CASS	32	76	2.4	40	1.2
	JOHNSON	20	42	2.1	31	1.5
	LAFAYETTE	16	33	2.1	18	1.1
	* SITE TOTAL *	68	151	2.2	89	1.3
SITE 905	COOPER	4	8	2.0	5	1.2
	PETTIS	24	72	3.0	37	1.5
	SALINE	16	49	3.1	34	2.1
	* SITE TOTAL *	44	129	2.9	76	1.7
SITE 907	AUDRAIN	20	49	2.5	35	1.7
	MONTGOMERY	6	13	2.2	8	1.3
	WARREN	13	39	3.0	16	1.2
	* SITE TOTAL *	39	101	2.6	59	1.5
SITE 908	FRANKLIN	28	71	2.5	45	1.6
	GASCONADE	4	10	2.5	7	1.7
	OSAGE	3	6	2.0	3	1.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	35	87	2.5	55	1.6
SITE 909	COLE	19	44	2.3	35	1.8
	MILLER	8	21	2.6	15	1.9
	MONITEAU	1	1	1.0	1	1.0
	MORGAN	3	10	3.3	10	3.3
SITE 910	* SITE TOTAL *	31	76	2.5	61	2.0
	BATES	4	9	2.3	6	1.5
	BENTON	5	14	2.8	8	1.6
	HENRY	5	12	2.4	7	1.4
SITE 911	ST CLAIR	2	3	1.5	2	1.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	38	2.4	23	1.4
	BARTON	1	2	2.0	1	1.0
	VERNON	1	2	2.0	1	1.0
SITE 912	* SITE TOTAL *	2	4	2.0	2	1.0
	JASPER	6	18	3.0	13	2.2
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	18	3.0	13	2.2
	CRAWFORD	9	20	2.2	15	1.7
SITE 913	DENT	2	5	2.5	5	2.5
	IRON	8	24	3.0	16	2.0
	REYNOLDS	4	7	1.7	6	1.5
	SHANNON	6	12	2.0	6	1.0
SITE 914	* SITE TOTAL *	29	68	2.3	48	1.7
	HOWELL	8	19	2.4	9	1.1
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	19	2.4	9	1.1
	BUTLER	7	16	2.3	16	2.3
SITE 915	CARTER	6	15	2.5	12	2.0
	RIPLEY	6	21	3.5	20	3.3
	WAYNE	6	17	2.8	15	2.5
	* SITE TOTAL *	25	69	2.8	63	2.5
SITE 916	BOLLINGER	9	24	2.7	13	1.4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	25	60	2.4	31	1.2
	PERRY	3	6	2.0	3	1.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	37	90	2.4	47	1.3
SITE 917	MADISON	5	12	2.4	10	2.0
	ST FRANCOIS	33	93	2.8	51	1.5
	STE GENEVIEVE	9	26	2.9	11	1.2
	WASHINGTON	6	10	1.7	9	1.5
SITE 918	* SITE TOTAL *	53	141	2.7	81	1.5
	STONE	11	33	3.0	22	2.0
	TANEY	7	17	2.4	15	2.1
	* SITE TOTAL *	18	50	2.8	37	2.1
SITE 919	ADAIR	12	39	3.3	37	3.1
	KNOX	4	12	3.0	10	2.5
	MACON	3	7	2.3	4	1.3
	SHELBY	2	6	3.0	4	2.0
SITE 920	* SITE TOTAL *	21	64	3.0	55	2.6
	CLARK	5	17	3.4	6	1.2
	LEWIS	6	23	3.8	14	2.3
	SCHUYLER	3	10	3.3	4	1.3
SITE 920	SCOTLAND	4	8	2.0	4	1.0
	* SITE TOTAL *	18	58	3.2	28	1.6
STATE TOTAL		1,717	4,546	2.6	3,233	1.9

**Appendix E. Family Preservation Services**  
**Families Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995 by Referral Source Code**

SITE	COUNTY	DMH CPS IN- COURT	DMH CPS OUT- PATIENT	DSS DYS	DSS DFS	FAMILY/ FRIENDS	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT	OTHER	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	SELF	TOTAL
SITE 701	JACKSON	19	0	0	0	149	1	0	1	0	0	171
	* SITE TOTAL *	19	0	0	0	149	1	0	1	0	0	171
SITE 702	ST LOUIS CITY	14	1	3	2	321	0	0	18	2	1	376
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	10	0	1	0	138	0	0	10	5	0	169
	* SITE TOTAL *	24	1	4	2	459	0	0	28	7	1	545
SITE 703	JEFFERSON	17	2	0	1	70	0	0	1	0	1	97
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	2	0	1	70	0	0	1	0	1	97
SITE 704	CHRISTIAN	6	0	2	0	22	0	0	1	2	0	33
	DADE	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	7
	GREENE	9	0	6	2	33	0	0	3	7	0	60
	POLK	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	WEBSTER	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	0	9	2	66	0	0	4	11	0	107
SITE 705	BARRY	0	0	1	0	9	0	1	0	1	0	12
	LAWRENCE	0	0	1	0	14	0	1	3	0	0	19
	MCDONALD	1	0	0	0	11	0	1	0	0	0	13
	NEWTON	13	0	1	0	3	0	4	2	0	0	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	0	3	0	37	0	7	5	1	0	67
SITE 706	DUNKLIN	4	0	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	12
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
	STODDARD	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	0	1	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	19
SITE 707	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	10
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
	SCOTT	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	1	0	20
SITE 801	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
SITE 802	GRUNDY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	MERCER	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	6
SITE 803	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
SITE 804	CHARITON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	8
SITE 805	MARION	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	8
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	11
SITE 806	LINCOLN	0	1	0	0	9	0	1	0	0	0	11
	PIKE	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1	0	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	13
SITE 807	BOONE	0	2	5	0	8	1	0	1	0	1	18
	CALLAWAY	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	2	5	0	12	2	0	1	1	0	24
SITE 808	CAMDEN	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	5
	DALLAS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	LACLEDE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	10
SITE 810	MARIES	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	PHELPS	1	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	2	11
	PULASKI	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	12
	TEXAS	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
	WRIGHT	2	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	0	0	0	32	1	1	0	0	3	40
SITE 811	ST CHARLES	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	3	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	3	25
SITE 812	LINN	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	9	0	1	0	0	0	10
SITE 901	ANDREW	4	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	10
	BUCHANAN	2	0	0	0	22	1	1	1	1	0	28
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	8
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	0	0	0	36	1	1	1	1	1	47
SITE 902	CLAY	2	0	0	0	18	1	0	0	0	1	22
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	11	2	0	0	0	0	13
	RAY	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	0	0	0	37	3	0	0	0	1	43

**Appendix E. (continued) Family Preservation Services**  
**Families Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995 by Referral Source Code**

SITE	COUNTY	DMH		DMH CPS		DSS DFS	FAMILY/ FRIENDS	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT		PRIVATE MENTAL		SCHOOL SYSTEM	SELF	TOTAL
		CPS IN- COURT	PATIENT	OUT- PATIENT	DSS DYS			OTHER	HEALTH					
SITE 904	CASS	13	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	32	
	JOHNSON	10	0	1	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	14	0	1	0	0	1	0	16	
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	0	1	0	40	0	1	0	0	2	1	68	
SITE 905	COOPER	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	PETTIS	1	0	0	1	15	1	6	0	0	0	0	24	
	SALINE	4	0	1	0	8	0	2	0	0	1	0	16	
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	0	1	1	26	1	8	0	0	1	0	44	
SITE 907	AUDRAIN	3	0	0	0	14	1	0	0	2	0	0	20	
	MONTGOMERY	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	WARREN	2	0	1	0	6	0	2	1	1	0	0	13	
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	0	1	2	23	1	2	1	3	0	0	39	
SITE 908	FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	1	1	0	0	28	
	GASCONADE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	OSAGE	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	1	1	0	0	35	
SITE 909	COLE	4	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	2	0	0	19	
	MILLER	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
	MONITEAU	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	MORGAN	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
SITE 910	* SITE TOTAL *	4	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	2	0	0	31	
	BATES	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	
	BENTON	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	
	HENRY	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	
SITE 911	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	0	0	11	0	3	1	0	0	0	16	
	BARTON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
SITE 912	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	JASPER	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	
SITE 913	CRAWFORD	1	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	9	
	DENT	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	IRON	1	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	8	
	REYNOLDS	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	
SITE 914	SHANNON	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	0	0	0	20	0	5	0	1	0	0	29	
	HOWELL	2	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	
SITE 915	BUTLER	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
	CARTER	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	
	WAYNE	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	
SITE 916	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	2	0	0	25	
	BOLLINGER	1	0	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	4	0	7	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	
	PERRY	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
SITE 917	* SITE TOTAL *	5	0	10	1	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	
	MADISON	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
	ST FRANCOIS	9	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	2	0	0	33	
	STE GENEVIEVE	2	0	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
SITE 918	WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	0	6	0	34	0	0	0	2	0	0	53	
	STONE	0	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	
	TANEY	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
SITE 919	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	
	ADAIR	0	0	0	0	7	0	5	0	0	0	0	12	
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	MACON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
SITE 920	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	16	0	5	0	0	0	0	21	
	CLARK	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	
	LEWIS	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
SITE 920	SCHUYLER	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	
	SCOTLAND	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	0	0	0	6	1	6	0	0	0	0	18	
	STATE TOTAL		172	6	41	10	1,311	11	42	47	33	6	38	1,717

**Appendix F. Family Preservation Services**  
**At-Risk Children Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995 by Planned Placement Prevented**

SITE	COUNTY	DETENTION	EMERGENCY SHELTER	FOSTER HOME	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL	RELATIVE CARE	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT	TOTAL
SITE 701	JACKSON	1	4	308	3	42	4	362
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	4	308	3	42	4	362
SITE 702	ST LOUIS CITY	19	37	616	7	65	104	848
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	9	24	199	3	26	64	325
	* SITE TOTAL *	28	61	815	10	91	168	1,173
SITE 703	JEFFERSON	13	1	122	2	1	14	153
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	1	122	2	1	14	153
SITE 704	CHRISTIAN	2	1	35	6	0	7	51
	DADE	0	0	8	3	0	0	11
	GREENE	2	0	76	16	1	4	99
	POLK	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	WEBSTER	0	0	8	0	0	0	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	1	127	26	1	11	170
SITE 705	BARRY	2	0	24	1	0	1	28
	LAWRENCE	3	0	23	3	0	0	29
	MCDONALD	4	0	16	0	0	1	21
	NEWTON	8	0	15	1	0	5	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	0	78	5	0	7	107
SITE 706	DUNKLIN	1	0	12	0	0	2	15
	PEMISCOT	0	0	15	0	0	0	15
	STODDARD	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	29	0	0	2	32
SITE 707	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	23	3	0	0	26
	NEW MADRID	0	0	14	1	1	0	16
	SCOTT	0	0	3	0	1	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	40	4	2	0	46
SITE 801	NODAWAY	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
SITE 802	GRUNDY	1	0	3	0	0	0	4
	HARRISON	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
	MERCER	1	3	3	0	0	0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3	9	0	0	0	14
SITE 803	CALDWELL	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	CARROLL	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
SITE 804	CHARITON	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	RANDOLPH	0	0	10	0	0	3	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	10	0	0	4	14
SITE 805	MARION	0	0	7	0	5	0	12
	MONROE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	RALLS	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	9	0	5	1	15
SITE 806	LINCOLN	1	0	16	0	1	0	18
	PIKE	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	17	0	1	1	20
SITE 807	BOONE	3	3	8	5	1	5	25
	CALLAWAY	0	0	5	1	0	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	3	13	6	1	5	31
SITE 808	CAMDEN	0	0	9	1	0	0	10
	DALLAS	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
	LACLEDE	0	0	3	1	3	1	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	15	2	3	1	21
SITE 810	MARIES	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
	OZARK	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
	PHELPS	0	0	18	0	2	0	20
	PULASKI	0	0	20	0	1	0	21
	TEXAS	0	1	13	0	0	0	14
	WRIGHT	2	6	4	0	0	0	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	7	62	0	3	0	74
SITE 811	ST CHARLES	0	6	32	0	0	4	42
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	6	32	0	0	4	42
SITE 812	LINN	0	0	13	0	0	0	13
	PUTNAM	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	SULLIVAN	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	16	0	0	0	16
SITE 901	ANDREW	0	0	15	0	2	1	18
	BUCHANAN	4	3	43	2	17	0	69
	CLINTON	1	0	11	0	3	0	15
	DE KALB	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	3	69	3	22	2	104
SITE 902	CLAY	0	3	33	1	5	1	43
	PLATTE	0	7	13	0	2	0	22
	RAY	0	0	12	1	5	0	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	10	58	2	12	1	83

**Appendix F. (continued) Family Preservation Services**  
**At-Risk Children Accepted During Fiscal Year 1995 by Planned Placement Prevented**

SITE	COUNTY	DETENTION	EMERGENCY SHELTER	FOSTER HOME	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL	RELATIVE CARE	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT	TOTAL
SITE 904	CASS	11	0	18	1	6	4	40
	JOHNSON	4	0	17	4	3	3	31
	LAFAYETTE	2	0	5	1	5	5	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	0	40	6	14	12	89
SITE 905	COOPER	0	0	3	0	1	1	5
	PETTIS	1	0	30	1	0	5	37
	SALINE	0	0	25	1	0	8	34
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	58	2	1	14	76
SITE 907	AUDRAIN	0	3	16	3	10	3	35
	MONTGOMERY	0	0	3	3	0	2	8
	WARREN	2	0	7	3	2	2	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3	26	9	12	7	59
SITE 908	FRANKLIN	0	0	36	0	6	3	45
	GASCONADE	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
	OSAGE	0	0	0	1	0	2	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	43	1	6	5	55
SITE 909	COLE	2	0	27	0	3	3	35
	MILLER	1	0	11	0	0	3	15
	MONITEAU	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	MORGAN	0	0	10	0	0	0	10
SITE 910	* SITE TOTAL *	4	0	48	0	3	6	61
	BATES	0	0	5	0	0	1	6
	BENTON	0	0	8	0	0	0	8
	HENRY	1	0	5	0	1	0	7
SITE 911	ST CLAIR	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	19	1	1	1	23
	BARTON	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
SITE 912	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
	JASPER	0	0	11	0	1	1	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	11	0	1	1	13
SITE 913	CRAWFORD	0	0	14	0	0	1	15
	DENT	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
	IRON	2	0	11	0	3	0	16
	REYNOLDS	0	0	5	0	1	0	6
SITE 914	SHANNON	0	0	4	1	0	1	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	0	39	1	4	2	48
	HOWELL	1	0	8	0	0	0	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	8	0	0	0	9
SITE 915	BUTLER	0	0	16	0	0	0	16
	CARTER	0	2	9	0	1	0	12
	RIPLEY	0	0	19	1	0	0	20
	WAYNE	0	2	12	0	0	1	15
SITE 916	* SITE TOTAL *	0	4	56	1	1	1	63
	BOLLINGER	1	1	10	1	0	0	13
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	4	5	14	5	1	2	31
	PERRY	0	0	2	0	0	1	3
SITE 917	* SITE TOTAL *	5	6	26	6	1	3	47
	MADISON	0	0	8	1	1	0	10
	ST FRANCOIS	6	0	33	5	3	4	51
	STE GENEVIEVE	2	0	4	3	2	0	11
SITE 918	WASHINGTON	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	0	54	9	6	4	81
	STONE	0	0	16	0	4	2	22
	TANEY	0	0	15	0	0	0	15
SITE 919	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	31	0	4	2	37
	ADAIR	0	0	36	0	1	0	37
	KNOX	1	0	9	0	0	0	10
	MACON	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
SITE 920	SHELBY	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	53	0	1	0	55
	CLARK	0	0	5	0	0	1	6
	LEWIS	0	0	14	0	0	0	14
SITE 920	SCHUYLER	0	0	3	0	0	1	4
	SCOTLAND	1	0	3	0	0	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	25	0	0	2	28
STATE TOTAL		120	112	2,377	99	239	286	3,233

**Appendix G. Family Preservation Services**  
**Exit Status of Families Exiting Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
		NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	
SITE 701	JACKSON	146	81.6	29	16.2	4	2.2	179
	* SITE TOTAL *	146	81.6	29	16.2	4	2.2	179
SITE 702	ST LOUIS CITY	299	85.7	41	11.7	9	2.6	349
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	150	93.2	11	6.8	0	0.0	161
SITE 703	* SITE TOTAL *	449	88.0	52	10.2	9	1.8	510
	JEFFERSON	78	85.7	11	12.1	2	2.2	91
SITE 704	* SITE TOTAL *	78	85.7	11	12.1	2	2.2	91
	CHRISTIAN	26	83.9	5	16.1	0	0.0	31
SITE 705	DADE	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	7
	GREENE	53	93.0	4	7.0	0	0.0	57
SITE 706	POLK	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	2
	WEBSTER	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5
SITE 707	* SITE TOTAL *	91	89.2	11	10.8	0	0.0	102
	BARRY	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	12
SITE 708	LAWRENCE	20	95.2	1	4.8	0	0.0	21
	MCDONALD	10	83.3	2	16.7	0	0.0	12
SITE 709	NEWTON	21	91.3	2	8.7	0	0.0	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	62	91.2	6	8.8	0	0.0	68
SITE 710	DUNKLIN	12	92.3	1	7.7	0	0.0	13
	PEMISCOT	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4
SITE 711	STODDARD	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	19	95.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	20
SITE 712	MISSISSIPPI	4	44.4	5	55.6	0	0.0	9
	NEW MADRID	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	6
SITE 713	SCOTT	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	66.7	7	33.3	0	0.0	21
SITE 714	NODAWAY	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
SITE 715	GRUNDY	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	2
	HARRISON	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
SITE 716	MERCER	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	6
SITE 717	CALDWELL	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
	CARROLL	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
SITE 718	* SITE TOTAL *	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
	CHARITON	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
SITE 719	RANDOLPH	7	77.8	1	11.1	1	11.1	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	80.0	1	10.0	1	10.0	10
SITE 720	MARION	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	8
	MONROE	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
SITE 721	RALLS	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	81.8	2	18.2	0	0.0	11
SITE 722	LINCOLN	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	10
	PIKE	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4
SITE 723	* SITE TOTAL *	13	92.9	1	7.1	0	0.0	14
	BOONE	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	15
SITE 724	CALLAWAY	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	18	94.7	1	5.3	0	0.0	19
SITE 725	CAMDEN	3	75.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	4
	DALLAS	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
SITE 726	LACLEDE	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	66.7	2	22.2	1	11.1	9
SITE 727	MARIES	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	2
	OZARK	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
SITE 728	PHELPS	9	81.8	2	18.2	0	0.0	11
	PULASKI	10	83.3	2	16.7	0	0.0	12
SITE 729	TEXAS	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8
	WRIGHT	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	9
SITE 730	* SITE TOTAL *	37	86.0	6	14.0	0	0.0	43
	ST CHARLES	21	80.8	4	15.4	1	3.8	26
SITE 731	* SITE TOTAL *	21	80.8	4	15.4	1	3.8	26
	LINN	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6
SITE 732	PUTNAM	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
	SULLIVAN	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
SITE 733	* SITE TOTAL *	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9
	ANDREW	8	80.0	1	10.0	1	10.0	10
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	22	75.9	7	24.1	0	0.0	29
	CLINTON	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	8
SITE 735	DE KALB	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	35	72.9	11	22.9	2	4.2	48
SITE 736	CLAY	20	87.0	3	13.0	0	0.0	23
	PLATTE	8	72.7	3	27.3	0	0.0	11
SITE 737	RAY	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	34	81.0	8	19.0	0	0.0	42

**Appendix G. (continued) Family Preservation Services**  
**Exit Status of Families Exiting Family Preservation Services During Fiscal Year 1995**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
		NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	
SITE 904	CASS	25	96.2	1	3.8	0	0.0	26
	JOHNSON	13	81.3	2	12.5	1	6.3	16
	LAFAYETTE	9	69.2	3	23.1	1	7.7	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	47	85.5	6	10.9	2	3.6	55
SITE 905	COOPER	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3
	PETTIS	21	87.5	3	12.5	0	0.0	24
	SALINE	14	82.4	2	11.8	1	5.9	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	38	86.4	5	11.4	1	2.3	44
SITE 907	AUDRAIN	14	82.4	3	17.6	0	0.0	17
	MONTGOMERY	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4
	WARREN	8	72.7	3	27.3	0	0.0	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	26	81.3	6	18.8	0	0.0	32
SITE 908	FRANKLIN	20	83.3	4	16.7	0	0.0	24
	GASCONADE	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5
	OSAGE	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	28	87.5	4	12.5	0	0.0	32
SITE 909	COLE	10	66.7	5	33.3	0	0.0	15
	MILLER	4	50.0	2	25.0	2	25.0	8
	MONITEAU	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1
	MORGAN	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	3
SITE 910	* SITE TOTAL *	16	59.3	9	33.3	2	7.4	27
	BATES	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	5
	BENTON	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	5
	HENRY	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	5
SITE 912	ST CLAIR	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	70.6	5	29.4	0	0.0	17
	JASPER	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6
SITE 913	CRAWFORD	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	8
	DENT	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
	IRON	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	0.0	7
	REYNOLDS	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	4
SITE 914	SHANNON	3	42.9	4	57.1	0	0.0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	19	67.9	9	32.1	0	0.0	28
	HOWELL	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	7
SITE 915	BUTLER	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	0.0	7
	CARTER	3	50.0	3	50.0	0	0.0	6
	RIPLEY	6	85.7	0	0.0	1	14.3	7
	WAYNE	1	25.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	4
SITE 916	* SITE TOTAL *	15	62.5	6	25.0	3	12.5	24
	BOLLINGER	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	7
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	24	92.3	1	3.8	1	3.8	26
	PERRY	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4
SITE 917	* SITE TOTAL *	34	91.9	2	5.4	1	2.7	37
	MADISON	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5
	ST FRANCOIS	30	88.2	4	11.8	0	0.0	34
	STE GENEVIEVE	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	10
SITE 918	WASHINGTON	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	89.1	6	10.9	0	0.0	55
	STONE	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	10
	TANEY	4	80.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	5
SITE 919	* SITE TOTAL *	12	80.0	2	13.3	1	6.7	15
	ADAIR	8	66.7	3	25.0	1	8.3	12
	KNOX	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	4
	MACON	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
SITE 920	SHELBY	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	66.7	5	27.8	1	5.6	18
	CLARK	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	3
	LEWIS	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5
SITE 920	SCHUYLER	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	4
	SCOTLAND	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	85.7	2	14.3	0	0.0	14
STATE TOTAL		1,389	84.5	223	13.6	31	1.9	1,643

## *Notes*

### **Appendix B**

- 11 No Opening in FPS/FF(Families First) - Child at Home
- 12 No Opening in FPS/FF(Families First) - Child will be Placed

### **Appendix E**

- DMH CPS Inpatient DMH (Department of Mental Health)  
CPS (Comprehensive Psychiatric Services)  
Inpatient
- DMH CPS Outpatient DMH (Department of Mental Health)  
CPS (Comprehensive Psychiatric Services)  
Outpatient

### **Appendix G**

- This table includes only those families with an exit date during the fiscal year and an exit status which is reported.

Exit Status includes the following status codes:

Family Intact

Family Intact

Family Not Intact

Child Ran Away  
Child Moved Out of Home (not placed)  
Child Living with Relative or Guardian -  
(not Court Ordered)  
Child Living with Relative or Guardian -  
(Court Ordered)  
In Foster Home or Group Home  
In Residential Treatment  
With DYS  
In Juvenile Justice  
In In-Patient Psychiatric Diversion

Other

Can't Locate Family  
Information Not Available